

[Sp1/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 3978 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.
Date :

M.A. No. 339/2016 IN O.A. No. 963/2012.
(Sub : Promotion)

27 OCT 2016

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 The State of Maharashtra through
Principal Secretary, (Revenue),
Revenue & Forest Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032. | 2 The Divisional Commissioner,
Konkan Division, Konkan Bhavan,
C.B.D. Belapur, Navi Mumbai-614. |
|--|---|

...**APPLICANT/S.**(Ori. Resp. No. 1 & 2)

VERSUS

- 1 Shri. Iresh G. Chappalwar,
R/at. 306 Disha Residence, Salaiwada, Sawantwadi-416 510.

...**RESPONDENT/S** (Ori. Appli.)

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **24th** day of **October, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri. N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O., for the Applicants (Ori. Resp.)
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Appli.)

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **24.10.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.


Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

Date : 24.10.2016

M.A. No.339 of 2016
in
O.A. No.963 of 2012

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)

Versus

I.G. Chapalwar

....Respondents
(Ori. Applicants)

1. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for extension of time sought by the applicants being original respondents.
2. We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, the learned CPO for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the respondents (Ori. Applicants).
3. M.A.No.340/2016 is moved for extension of time to comply with our order on the O.A.. This application is for condonation of delay in making that application. A chart has been furnished to show as to how various steps have been taken from time to time and in the meanwhile outer time limit expired and the condonation of delay is being sought.
4. We are satisfied that the case is made out for condonation of delay and whatever is required to be considered will be considered in the application seeking extension of time. Therefore, the delay in making MA No.340/2016 stands hereby condoned and the said MA is taken up for arguments forthwith.

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice - Chairman

VSM

TRUE COPY